

Surrey

Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

Comment text:

Related subject: Hinchley Wood

Hi there,

I fed back into the previous draft. The new one feels just as confusing. I'm not happy that Hinchley Wood is being paired with Long Ditton.

Hinchley Word has historical ties to Esher, Claygate and Thames Ditton (including Weston Green).

The new boundaries make no sense and I don't understand the benefits to change them.

Hinchley Wood needs to remain associated with Esher and Claygate.

Perhaps, the southern half, which is intersected by the Kingston by-pass should be part of Claygate and the northern half, Weston Green?

Semantically, Thames Ditton and Long Ditton should be together. They are 'the Dittons' after all. Where as you've created - east molesey and the dittons and excluded long Ditton, which makes no sense?!

My personal preference would be to keep the boundary the same.

Overall, im confused about this process and it makes no sense. I'll be looking to speak with my local councillor to get a clearer understanding.

I'd appreciate a response.

Regards,

Liam

Attached Documents:

None attached