

# Surrey

## Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]  
Email: [REDACTED]  
Postcode: [REDACTED]  
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

## Comment text:

**Related subject:** Oxshott

I fundamentally reject the recommendations of LGBCE for the way in which it proposes to treat Oxshott. As a community, Oxshott has a population of about 5,000+ people. As a resident of Oxshott, it is a settlement which has a clearly defined identity that is largely defined by surrounding Green Belt with its own High Street, its own medical and community centre, its own schools, and its own station. There can be no justification for dividing the community particularly by arbitrarily drawing a line down a main road. In addition there can be no justification for the complete removal of the name of Oxshott in the LGBCE recommendations.

The recommendations accord to Oxshott an orphan status whose population would be conveniently divided to suit LGBCE's arithmetical requirements. It completely ignores the concept of community and relegates the residents of Oxshott to second class status without any form of collective identity for the critical purposes of local government. I therefore politely request LGBCE to revise its recommendations on a basis that properly respects the second key consideration of LGBCE of "Ensuring that the recommendations reflect community identity". In my view this can best be done by retaining the existing boundaries whereby Oxshott continues to be part of the division of Hinchley Wood, Claygate & Oxshott.

## Attached Documents:

*None attached*