

# Surrey

## Personal Details:

Name:

[REDACTED]

Email:

[REDACTED]

Postcode:

[REDACTED]

Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

## Comment text:

**Related subject:** Oxshott - part of Hichley wood etc division.

Whilst I appreciate the need for a degree of numerical equivalence within divisions the disrespect afforded Oxshott is appalling. I reject the recommendations for the way it proposes to treat Oxshott, arbitrarily splitting it in two along a road and reducing it to an orphan status whose population will be carved up to suit the arithmetical requirements of the LGBCE.

As 2029 projected figures show, Oxshott and Stoke D'Abernon will grow much more than Cobham so perhaps Cobham and Oxshott could be combined into a new division called Cobham and Oxshott in anticipation of this. The numbers of inhabitants would be a bit high but better than split a village in two?

Or Claygate Oxshott and part of Esher could be combined?

Or the current Division left untouched and the three small quite separate villages continue to be represented by one councillor who can easily and conveniently deal with each part separately.?

The proposal completely sacrifices the concept of community over numerical convenience and is disrespectful to the inhabitants of this thriving village.. It does not reflect the second consideration of LGBCE of "ensuring the recommendations reflect community identity"

## **Attached Documents:**

*None attached*