

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 03 September 2021 20:21  
**To:** reviews  
**Subject:** Objection to Ward Boundaries changes. (Wolverhampton)  
  
**Categories:** Submissions, Matt

**We strongly object to the proposed changes to our ward in Penn for the following reasons:-**

1. Penn Ward Boundaries should be maintained as they currently are. Penn Ward has similarities with Merry Hill, Tettenhall Regis  
And Tettenhall Wightwick and these three Wards are already recommended by LGBCE and the local council to remain as they are.
2. The test around clear boundaries is definitely compromised as relinquishing both sides of Coalway Road to Graiseley, and taking  
Both sides of Goldthorn Hill and Coton Road to Blackenhall leaves Penn Ward no strong ,clearly –  
identifiable boundaries.
3. The other test around 'reflecting community identity' has been ignored. Penn Ward will lose it's well loved  
and only Catholic church  
In our community. St Michael's Church at the moment comes under Penn Ward and a lot of Penn residents  
are proud of this local community church.
4. Average number of electors in a Ward per Councillor is 3175 the proposal to maintain Penn Ward as it is, will  
still leave councillors with electors within  
The range of 10% of the average electors over the forecast period of 2026.
5. The shops at the bottom of Coalway road and on the Penn road are well used by local people and these  
unique shops are part of the character of Penn  
Ward. This community shopping area fits well with Penn Ward. Graiseley already has it's own community  
shopping areas.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows