

Wolverhampton City Council

[cookies settings](#)

Accept all cookies

No, give me more information
about cookies

Name:

[REDACTED]

E-mail:

[REDACTED]

Postcode:

wv4 [REDACTED]

Organisation Name:

Comment text:

We object the Penn, Wolverhampton boundary changes, residents were not informed and here are more reasons why we object 1. Penn Ward Boundaries should be maintained as they currently are. Penn Ward has similarities with Merry Hill, Tettenhal Regis and Tettenhal Wightwick and these three Wards are already recommended for remaining as they are. 2. The test around clear boundaries is definitely compromised as relinquishing both sides of the Coalway road to Graiseley, and taking both sides of Goldthorn Hill and Coton Road to Blakenhall leaves Penn Ward no strong, clearly-identifiable boundaries. 3. The other test around 'reflecting community identity, has been ignored. Penn Ward will lose it's well-loved and only Catholic Church in our community. St. Michael's Church at the moment comes under the Penn Ward and a lot of Penn Residents are proud of this local community Church. 4. Average number of electors in a Ward per Councillor is 3,175 the proposal to maintain Penn Ward as it is, will still leave Councillors with electors within the range of 10percent of the average of electors over the forecast period of 2026. (Attach the evidence below) 5. Penn Ward has some lovely shops like Tantastic and all the other shops around it on the corner of Coalway Road. These shops are well used by local people and these nice unique shops are part of the 'Character of Penn Ward'. This community shopping area fits in well with Penn Ward. Graiseley already has its own community shopping areas.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded