

Wolverhampton City Council

Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]

E-mail: [REDACTED]

Postcode: Wv4 [REDACTED]

Organisation Name:

Comment text:

Penn Ward Boundaries should be maintained as they currently are. Penn Ward has similarities with Merry Hill, Tettenhal Regis and Tettenhall Wightwick and these three wards are already recommended by LGBCE and local council to remain as they are. The test around clear boundaries is definitely compromised as relinquishing both sides of the Coalway Road to Graiseley and taking both sides of Goldthorn Hill and Coton Road to Blakenhall leaves Penn Ward no strong, clearly-identifiable boundaries. The other test around reflecting community identity has been ignored. Penn Ward will lose its well loved and only Catholic church in our community. St Michaels Church at the moment comes under Penn Ward and a lot of Penn residents are proud of this local community church. Average numbers of electors in a Ward per Councillor is 3175 the proposal to maintain Penn Ward as it is, will still leave Councillors with electors over the forecast period of 2026. Penn Ward has some lovely shops like Tantasie and all the other shops around iron the corner of Coalway Road. These shops are well used by local people and these nice unique shops are part of the Character of Penn Ward. This community shopping area fits in well with Penn Ward. Graiseley already has its own community shopping area. As a resident of Coton Road I object to the proposal to it being taken off Penn Ward.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded