

Gravesham Borough Council

Personal Details:

Name:

[REDACTED]

E-mail:

[REDACTED]

Postcode:

[REDACTED]

Organisation Name:

Comment text:

The Istead Rise, Cobham and Luddesdown ward is very large compared to the others. Is it based on population density. Could Meopham South take in some of the area instead, and Meopham North upto the railway line maybe. Giving a fair share of the population area and surrounding areas of residents, for the services in those areas. Although they are all villages south of the A2, they are all different, with varying services to the public. Does this now mean that there will also be 3 councillors per ward, and not 2, as there will be less wards in the Council Area overall. Or does it mean that there will be less councillors per ward, 1 instead of 2, to reduce councillor costs in Gravesham Council. Some councillors carry out alot of council related activities for the ward, such as [REDACTED]. Other councillors do nothing for their area except in social media, and unorganised fundraising and misreporting to their residents, such as [REDACTED]. Please make the wards useful to their residents, and the councillors fully accountable to their wards at Council. Also producing Councillor reporting directly to their resident wards via monthly councillor news in their local area Newsletters for the wards they support. As in Istead we have none since our IRIS Newsletter has ben stopped and a online website IRIStoday.com has been set up, with only 294 residents as followers.(with no resident newsletter set up at all since August 2020). The last paper newsletter I have seen in Istead Rise was February 2020 , that went out to all residents in Istead (the old and vulnerable included, and those without internet and smart phones) With no Councillor correspondence about the Council work they have done for us, to all the residents since then. Please make the boundaries work for the good of the residents.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded